UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,421	01/22/2001	Michael J. Shamblott	JHU1750-1	9551
LISA A. HAILI	7590 01/14/200 E , Ph.D .	EXAMINER		
	WARE & FREIDENR	CROUCH, DEBORAH		
Suite 1100 4365 Executive Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Diego, CA	92121-2133	1632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		01/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/767,421	SHAMBLOTT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	Deborah Crouch	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDIMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 ²		cted ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,10,13,15,16,22,23,25-29,32 and 35-3</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>8</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Deborah Crouch/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1632	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amendments to claim 22, lacking LIF, 30 population doublings without being immortal, each raise new issues for further search and consideration for art and/or new matter.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues Hogan did not produce EB-derived cells. Applicant argues Hogan produces EG cells, not EB cells.

The following is an Hogan, col. 8, lines 38-49: "Four independent lines of undifferentiated cells derived from 8.5 day embryos and cultured onto STO feeder layers were trypsinized and pipetted gently to generate small clumps of cells which were then placed in bacteriological plastic dishes. After five to seven days most of the clumps differentiated into typical simple or cystic embryoid bodies (EBs), with a clear outer layer of extraembryonic endoderm cells (FIGS. 4, B). When these EBs were returned to tissue culture plastic dishes they rapidly attached and over two weeks gave rise to a variety of cell types, including extraembryonic endoderm, spontaneously contracting muscle, nerve and endothelial and fibroblast-like cells.

The plated EB's produced a culture of EB-dervived cells. Thus Hogan, while teaching EG cells, also teaches EB-derived cells.